Progress evaluation: District NPNP Pilot 12 months – June 2023

The purpose of this evaluation is to support your reflections on how your new Christian community is developing, what you need to adapt for it to continue to progress, and what you have learnt along the way that you and others should be mindful of. Please be as open as possible in your reflections. A



"satisfactory" evaluation is you actively learning, reflecting on progress and responding to changing circumstances. It is quite normal for some things not to turn out as expected when starting something new.

Whilst the words on these pages may be written by one member of your team, they should be collectively owned by the team.

Because of the scale and importance of investment in new Christian communities we are requesting six monthly evaluations. We have attempted as best as possible not to duplicate information in the Connexional NPNP evaluation and research form.

Your evaluation will be shared with the District Leading Team. We will not treat information contained here as for public distribution without first checking with you. A reminder of some key information from your original proposal is included after the questions for convenience.

| Person completing this evaluation | Jonathan Hood |
|--|--------------------------|
| Team/ other people who have had sight of this evaluation | Sean Adair, Neil Harland |
| Date of evaluation | 19/06/2023 |

VISION - How and why has your vision evolved or been clarified since your original proposal/last evaluation?

The vision seems to have naturally followed the original vision from the planning phase which shows that God was clearly at work right at the beginning of the project and has affirmed our outlook in the work we are doing.

TEAM – How often do the team(s) responsible for your new Christian community currently meet? What has worked well about this model of oversight?

Are you planning to make any changes to this over the coming six months?

The management team meet every 6 weeks

Oversight has worked well with experienced members as well as people who understand the communities well. A practical support team however, has been difficult to find. Ian Bell has recently joined the team, and his pioneering wisdom will be a great asset We are hopeful that changes in personnel over time, eg recruiting to replace Tim Rossell (who is leaving his circuit youth role), won't disrupt the team

TEAM – Who is praying for this work? How are you engaging with them at this stage?

The circuit are currently praying for this project – updates are being provided at circuit meeting, through videos on the youtube channel and from preaching around the circuit.

It was mentioned at the last management group that we would increase prayer requests for this work through online devotions

We have noted that we have seen doors opening following specific prayers.

MARKERS OF FRUITFULNESS - In your application you explained what fruitfulness would look like for your new Christian community and key markers of progress towards these. What have been the most significant developments over the past six months?

The start of community groups in response to the needs in the communities

The engagement with worship in one of the communities – shaped by local people

Faith conversations that are being had following both of the above

People in the communities willing to volunteer for activities

MARKERS OF FRUITFULNESS - What are the most significant developments you hope to see over the next six months?

Discipleship group beginning in Barrow Hill – we are on the cusp of a small group meeting for reading the bible, but just struggling to get them over the line.

Growth in Chesterfield with the children's work with a natural progression to discipleship – we have began gently introducing Jesus at our toddler group with prayer and short stories but not so obvious how discipleship is emerging from other activities.

Kids club in Chesterfield not growing – will try more publicity in schools

MARKERS OF FRUITFULNESS - Which markers of fruitfulness feel least likely to transpire? What plans do you have to respond to these concerns?

We are not yet seeing signs of serious discipleship – this is not seen as a problem, as this takes time, but we are encouraged by a few people interested in worship activities and reading the bible.

Sustainability will be a challenge in these communities, although we are finding volunteers and ways of keeping costs down. Raising local income in areas of poverty may be difficult.

RISKS AND RESPONSES – In your proposal you explained how you would respond to the main risks to flourishing of your community. If any of these have transpired, how did you respond? Are there any significant new risks emerging you are unsure how to respond to?

mutual recognition – how the circuit understands new Christian communities, and how they find their place at the table – was a risk we identified. Whilst the circuit approves of this work, we still have very few members who have offered to help

There is concern due to a lack of practical 'team' that the project becomes centred around the pioneer who is hoping to candidate this year. The circuit is also losing their youth worker who is the other person who has contributed most to the project practically. The circuit has agreed to employ another part time person to support the work.

FINANCES – Did you achieve the local income (beyond circuit, district and Connexional grants) that you intended for the last six months?

We had only anticipated grants towards costs of activities and room hire at this stage.

We have spent less than anticipated, and venue costs have been covered by other organisations. So we have not yet had to apply for grants

FINANCES – How much local income do you need to generate over the next six months to keep on track with your plan for financial sustainability? What preparations have you made for this?

Need to make a start on raising funds towards salary costs – ideally for this to be locally led rather than by the steering group – two local people who have engaged with us may help with grant applications

JOURNEY – Is there anything else you have discovered in the last six months that makes you wish you had approached this work differently?

Developed a larger 'hands on' team of volunteers to work with the pioneer – but there is a limit to how much we would have wanted the pioneer to step into an already shaped programme A prayer focus for the coming months for people to engage with

Please return this questionnaire to Neil Harland, District Mission Enabler mission@sheffieldmethodist.org

Information from original proposal

Overall vision

To start new Methodist Christian communities the ex mining village of Barrow Hill and the interconnected estates in south Chesterfield, both "left behind" places where no churches have had a significant presence for many years.

We anticipate that church in these places will look like a relational network of small groups and activities. Some people will engage with only one of these, others will be part of several. We will establish early a pattern of regular open worship, but without the expectation that we are building towards a single regular Sunday service that everyone attends together, e.g. for those who engage through a community gardening group, a forest church model may be appropriate.

We will also place emphasis on identifying and nurturing emerging leaders from within the communities, which we recognise will require significant investment of time.

Fruitfulness measures

| Theme | Marker | Measure | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Growing disciples | Fostering culture of deepening discipleship | Increasing number of people engaging in regular activities with intention of growing in faith (eg small groups, way of life) | | | |
| | Lives being shaped by relationship with Christ | People comfortable to give testimony about recent change | | | |
| Sharing stories | culture of invitation to relationship with Christ | New people coming to explore because they have been invited | | | |
| | People (re)discovering faith | Baptisms/ (culturally relevant) public professions of faith Growing number of active members (note 1) | | | |
| Passionate contextual worship | Growing worshipping community | Increasing numbers of people engaged in regular collective worship activities | | | |
| | rooted in and continually informed by context | Worship increasingly led by people other than the pioneer team Format of worship evolves in response to local suggestions | | | |
| Long term sustainability | Working towards financial sustainability | Decreasing deficit of locally generated income vs costs (including costs of staff time | | | |
| | Nurturing new leaders | Creation of local leadership teams, which become increasingly self-reliant | | | |
| | Self governing | Increasingly able to take on own governance and safeguarding (note 2) | | | |
| Connexional | Relating well to wider Methodism | Local leaders glad to be associated with the circuit | | | |
| | Contributing to circuit/ district | Local members making growing meaningful contributions to wider life of circuit | | | |

Progress markers



By the third year we would expect a relatively stable but still evolving pattern of groups, activities and events to be happening. We would expect the pioneer team to be involved in the organising of most of these, but to be alert to and prioritising sharing of leadership. Across the groups would be opportunities for people to begin discipleship journeys. People would self-identify as belonging to a community and some would profess Christian faith.



By the fifth year the pioneer team should be looking to draw back to mentoring and supporting new leaders from within the community where possible, recognising that support will continue to be required for some time. Members will recognise themselves as agents of change in their neighbourhood and will support each other in growing in faith. District/ circuit will support the pioneer in exploring possibilities for the next place.

Risks and potential obstacles

Dependency culture

Many public and third sector agencies have delivered services in the Chesterfield estates and Barrow Hill. People have learnt that initiatives will be provided to/ for them, but these cannot be relied on for the long term. Local councillors in particular have highlighted the challenges they have experienced in attempting to transfer ongoing management of successful projects to local people. We will attempt to address this through an asset based approach, allowing our activities to be shaped by local skills and interests.

We will also modelling team-working from the start, with the pioneer working alongside the youth worker, presbyters and others. Aptitude for forming and enabling a team will be a key consideration in our recruitment.

Through Freedom Community Project borne out of Bolsover Methodist Church, we have direct local experience of the challenges and opportunities of building relationships of trust through meeting practical needs.

Complex/ unstructured lives

Local leaders we have spoken with have referenced the challenges of working with people who have become unfamiliar with the routines and motivations of paid employment, sustained lack of agency, or destabilizing drug/ other addictions.

Breaking staff dependency

Whilst we normally expect the wealthier parts of a circuit to contribute to those parts which are financially poorer, we must plan for new churches in economically disadvantaged communities to be financially self-sustaining:

- So they do not remain subservient projects, reliant on the patronage of established churches
- So that the financial reserves of the inherited church is not a limiting factor on the selfreplicating growth of new churches at the margins

We will therefore need this pilot to generate a tent-making element, linked to its natural activities and the skills of its members and/ or to be able to continue to develop with engagement of the pioneer reducing significantly over time. The role of paid/ ordained ministry then becomes primarily to mentor, network and enable local volunteer lay leadership for several Christian communities. This is made more difficult by the inherent challenges of working in these communities described above, but re-imagining of sustainable ministry for growth is a key task of our pilot. We hope this culture is supported by working from the start across two communities — being present, but not ever-present.

Ownership without bureaucracy

The new Christian communit(ies) which emerge will need become mature "churches", able to make decisions about their common life, organize their activities, carry the respect of partner organisations, hold a common purse, uphold strong safeguarding and governance and relate to the

wider connexion. This will need to be done in a way that is accessible to leaders without prior experience of church, and so may require re-interpretation of CPD and Methodist procedures.

Mutual recognisability

The newly emerging Christian communities need to be recognised by other churches in the circuit as equally valid expressions of church as established chapels, despite potentially acting and behaving differently. They will need to have a genuine seat at the circuit table and not be seen as a threat. Equally we need the new communities to feel the circuit is a supportive Methodist extended family to which they belong if they are not to become frustrated or disengaged.

Original budget

| | Year 1 | Year 2 | Year 3 | Year 4 | Year 5 | Year 6 |
|--|--------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|
| COSTS | | | | | | |
| Salary, pension, NIC | 33,412 | 34,103 | 34,809 | 35,528 | 36,262 | 6,390 |
| Travel, administration, other expenses | 4,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 2,000 | 500 |
| Training | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 500 |
| Venue, event, partnership costs | 16,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 | 16,000 |
| TOTAL NEW COSTS | 54,412 | 53,103 | 53,809 | 54,528 | 55,262 | 23,390 |
| | | | | <u></u> | | |
| FUNDING | | | | | | |
| Connexional Team (part 1) | 10,000 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Connexional Team (part 2) | 20,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | 30,000 | = |
| Circuit Model Trust Fund | 16,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | 10,000 |
| District Advance Fund | 6,000 | 5,000 | 4,000 | 3,000 | 2,000 | - |
| Local fundraising, grants, giving | 3,000 | 6,000 | 8,000 | 10,000 | 13,500 | 15,500 |
| DIRECT FUNDING | 55,000 | 56,000 | 57,000 | 58,000 | 60,500 | 25,500 |
| | | | | | | |
| ASSESSMENT/ (DEFICIT) | 588 | 2,897 | 3,191 | 3,472 | 5,238 | 2,110 |
| | | | | | | |
| IN KIND CIRCUIT FUNDING | | | | | | |
| Youth youth pastor (2 days/ week) | 11,424 | 11,652 | 11,886 | 12,123 | 12,366 | 6,307 |
| Circuit minister(s) (2 then 1 day/ week) | 15,300 | 15,606 | 7,959 | 8,118 | 8,281 | 8,446 |

In year 6, focus for pioneer time is shifting to next opportunity.